

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claim 1 in the Listing of Claims was canceled in the previously submitted amendment document mailed to the USPTO on September 6, 2005.

Claim 2 in the Listing of Claims is submitted as "Currently amended". It contains corrections that comply with the Office Action Summary Claim Objections made by the examiner and mailed by the USPTO on September 27, 2005.

In conjunction with this amendment document, I have submitted a six (6) page response letter that includes my respectful arguments stating why I believe this Office Action should not be final. It addresses errors made by the examiner, and requests that the Director of the USPTO review my patent application and all correspondence between the USPTO and me to date for reconsideration of issuance of a patent. It argues why I believe my invention as documented in my patent application claim is a patentable novelty when considered alone and when compared to the reference cited in the Office Action Summary Claim Rejections made by the examiner. It also argues the distinct differences between documentation in my patent application claim and documentation in Lamparter's patent claims and patent application (US 5,604,480).

Respectfully submitted,



Mark Alan Court

Tel. Home: (530) 633-4394 Work: (530) 634-3182